

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<p>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</p> <p>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p> <p>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</p>
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Density of housing stock around a bus route will not merely ensure that this relieves the carbon demand.</p> <p>Housing stock with deliberation to green credentials with natural energy solutions would need to be enforced instead of presuming a new population will rely on public transport.</p> <p>The loss of greenbelt land and safe guarded future green space corridors- this secures no guarantee for local wildlife and boundary of villages and towns in the area.</p> <p>SHrinking natural green environs and no enforcement to date from local developers to maintain agreed nature enhancing feature creates little faith that the development takes heed of the costly reports devised to measure the impact of the sites development</p>
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	development on previously designated green belt land with requests from Peel estates - greed over consideration of brown field sites elsewhere.
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	"Increasing the size, spread, quality and interconnectedness of the green infrastructure network, enabling the city region, its citizens and wildlife to adapt to changing conditions;" This aim is totally negated with the development of this site and the diminishing boundaries of the surrounding towns villages and access to smaller spaces with significantly more people
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	It fails to illustrate the need for travel and for social and pleasure and the impact of this in the over burdened area
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Person ID	1286123
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Recent developments in the areas have failed to maintain this promise of same How is this any different to undelivered and unmonitored assurances of better infrastructure to date
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh
Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The recent development of the area has exhausted the natural feel of the once small area. This mapping doesn't mention the percentage loss of greenspace already lost in the last 5 years to permitted developments in the immediate vicinity.
Family Name	Bebbington Pugh

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Heather
Person ID	1286123
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I believe that the site having been previously safeguarded as green belt land should exclude this area for this proposed development.</p> <p>The issues with regards to expansion of infrastructure had been exceeded with recent developments and this proposal neither details how the enforcement of current shortfall will further increase the deficit of infrastructure in this area (school Gps Community spaces).</p> <p>There is no regard with the density and boundary of the existing villages capacity to remain an identifiable village.</p> <p>The site also fails to factor in Salford contributions to the surrounding commuter belt to Manchester city centre and the colossal traffic burden that it already faces.</p>